FEUFRAL OCHMUNICATION: COMMISSION

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION JUL 2 1 1993 Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)
Treatment of Operator Services) CC Docket No. 93-124
Under Price Cap Regulation)

REPLY

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth") submits its reply comments in the above-captioned proceeding.

Twelve parties, including BellSouth, filed comments in this proceeding. None of the commentors supports the Commission's proposed rule change. In fact all the commentors agree that the Commission's proposed action in this proceeding conflicts with the objectives of price cap regulation because it would further limit LEC pricing

unreasonable LEC prices. Moreover, few LECs have increased prices for operator transfer service (OTS) since the service was first tariffed.³

Several commentors offer an alternative proposal which they claim would not eliminate LEC pricing flexibility.⁴ While these alternatives may have some merit, their consideration is premature. Currently there is nothing in the record that shows any change to the current rules is needed. Moreover, as USTA points out in its Comments, the Commission itself has determined that market forces are securing just and reasonable rates for operator services.⁵

Furthermore, as stated by Bell Atlantic, "now is not the appropriate time for tinkering with the structure of the price cap plan." The appropriate place and time to address such concerns is during the comprehensive review of the entire price cap structure which is scheduled to take place at the end of this year.

CONCLUSION

The Commission should not require creation of a separate category within the Traffic Sensitive Basket for

BellSouth at 4, Southwestern Bell at 3, GTE at 2.

See e.g., SNET, NYNEX, GTE.

USTA at 4, n.7. See also, Final Report of the Federal Communications Commission Pursuant to the Telephone Operator Consumer Services Improvement Act of 1990, November 13, 1992, at 33.

Bell Atlantic at 1.

interstate operator services. Such a requirement is contrary to stated price caps policy objectives and

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Sheila Bonner, hereby certify that I have this 21st day of July, 1993 serviced all parties to this action with a copy of the foregoing REPLY COMMENTS by placing a true and correct copy of same in the United States mail, postage prepaid, to those persons listed on the attached service list.

WILLDMAN.

CC Docket No. 93-124 July 21, 1993

SOUTHERN NEW ENGLAND TELEPHONE CO.
Rochelle D. Jones
Director - Regulatory
227 Church Street
New Haven, CT 06506-1806

SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE CO.
James E. Taylor
Richard C. Hartgrove
Thomas A. Pajda
One Bell Center, Room 3520
St. Louis, Missouri 63101

NEW YORK TELEPHONE COMPANY
NEW ENGLAND TELEPHONE AND
TELEGRAPH COMPANY
Edward R. Wholl
Joseph DiBella
120 Bloomingdale Road
White Plains, NY 10605

GTE SERVICE CORPORATION
Gail L. Polivy
1850 M Street, N.W.
Suite 1200
Washington, D.C. 20036

PACIFIC BELL
NEVADA BELL
James P. Tuthill
John W. Bogy
140 New Montgomery Street
Room 1530-A
San Francisco, CA 94105

James L. Wurtz
Attorney for
PACIFIC BELL
NEVADA BELL
1275 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, D.C. 20004

AMERITECH
Michael S. Pabian
2000 W. Ameritech Ctr. Dr.
4H76
Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025

BELL ATLANTIC TELEPHONE CO. Edward D. Young, III Christopher W. Savage Edward Shakin 1710 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

UNITED AND CENTRAL TELEPHONE CO. Jay C. Keithley 1850 M Street, N.W. Suite 1110 Washington, D.C. 20036

Craig T. Smith
Attorney for
UNITED AND CENTRAL
TELEPHONE CO.
P. O. Box 11315
Kansas City, MO 64112

US WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
James T. Hannon
1020 19th Street, N.W.
Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20036

ROCHESTER TELEPHONE CORP. Michael J. Shortley, III 180 South Clinton Avenue Rochester, NY 14646

UNITED STATES TELEPHONE ASSOC.
Martin T. McCue
Linda Kent
900 19th Street, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20005-2106